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ABSTRACT

Background: While optimal cardiovascular risk factor (CRF) profile is associated with lower mortality, morbidity, and healthcare expenditures among individuals with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), less is known regarding its impact on financial hardship from medical bills. Therefore, we assessed whether an optimal CRF profile is associated with a lower burden of financial hardship from medical bills and a reduction in cost-related barriers to health.

Methods: We used a nationally representative sample of adults between 18 and 64 years from the National Health Interview Survey between 2013 and 2017. We assessed ASCVD status and the number of risk factors to categorize the study population into 4 mutually exclusive categories: ASCVD (irrespective of CRF profile) and non-ASCVD with poor, average, and optimal CRF profile. Adjusted logistic regression model was used to determine the association of ASCVD/CRF profile with financial hardship from medical bills and cost-related barriers to health (cost-related medication non-adherence (CRN), foregone/delayed care, and high financial distress).

Results: We included 119,388 non-elderly adults, representing 189 million individuals annually across the United States. Non-ASCVD/optimal CRF profile individuals had a lower prevalence of financial hardship and an inability paying medical bills when compared with individuals with ASCVD (24% vs 45% and 6% vs 19%, respectively). Among individuals without ASCVD and an optimal CRF profile, the prevalence of each cost-related barrier to health was <50% compared with individuals with ASCVD. Poor/low income and uninsured individuals within non-ASCVD/average CRF profile strata had a lower prevalence of financial hardship and an inability paying medical bills when compared with middle/high income and insured individuals with ASCVD. Non-ASCVD individuals with optimal CRF profile had the lowest odds of all barriers to health.

Conclusion: Optimal CRF profile is associated with a lower prevalence of financial hardship from medical bills and cost-related barriers to health despite lower income and lack of insurance.
1. Introduction

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the United States and around the world. Patients with ASCVD endure significant financial burden from direct and indirect medical costs, life-years lost, and diminished quality of life related to their condition [1]. In 2010, the American Heart Association launched the 2020 Strategic Impact Goals, which defined the concept of ideal cardiovascular health (CVH), including adequate management of hypertension, diabetes, and total cholesterol, smoking abstinence, maintaining a healthy weight and diet, and engaging in physical activity [2]. It is now well established that an optimal cardiovascular risk factor (CRF) profile, as a measure of CVH, is associated with favorable cardiovascular outcomes, including lower mortality and morbidity rates [3-6], as well as decreased healthcare expenditures and cardiovascular costs later in life, regardless of ASCVD status [7-9]. To date, however, less is known whether an optimal CRF profile is associated with lower financial hardship among affected individuals. Therefore, in this study we sought to describe financial hardship from medical bills across the spectrum of varying CRF profiles and an ASCVD status from a nationally representative sample of adults in the United States (US). Additionally, we analyzed cost-related consequences and barriers affecting individuals’ health, such as cost-related medication non-adherence (CRN), foregone/delayed care, and high financial distress.

2. Materials & methods

2.1. Study design

We utilized pooled, cross-sectional data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) between 2013 and 2017. The NHIS is a National Center for Health Statistics/Center for Disease Control and Prevention database constructed from annual surveys, which incorporates complex, multi-stage sampling to provide estimates on the noninstitutionalized US database constructed from annual surveys, which incorporates complex, multi-stage sampling to provide estimates on the noninstitutionalized US population. This study was based on the Sample Adult Core files, which were supplemented with demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, health status, healthcare services, and health-related behaviors from the US adult population. Since NHIS data is publicly available as de-identified data, this study was exempt from the Institutional Review Board Committee. We limited our study to focus on non-elderly (18-64 years of age) adults with ASCVD status and CRF profile information to capture the population without universal public insurance, which may provide enhanced protection from the financial-related outcomes of interest.

2.2. Study variable

2.2.1. ASCVD and CRF profiles

Individuals who self-reported having coronary artery disease (answered “yes” to any of the following 3 questions: “Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you had...coronary heart disease? or...angina, also called angina pectoris? or...a heart attack (also called myocardial infarction?)” and/or stroke disease (answered “yes” to the following question: “Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you had a stroke?”), were established as having ASCVD.

CRF profile was ascertained based on the presence of 1 or more of the following self-reported clinical characteristics: diagnosis of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or high cholesterol, current smoker, obesity (body mass index ≥30 kg/m²), or insufficient physical activity (≥75 min/week of vigorous-intensity activity or ≥150 min/week moderate-intensity activity or combination) [2]. The sum of the risk factors an individual accrued was used to determine the CRF profile: “poor/unnatfavorable” (≥4 CRFs) and “favorable” (<4 CRFs) (“average” [2–3 CRFs] or “optimal” [0–1 CRF]).

2.3. Outcome variables

2.3.1. Financial hardship from medical bills

Individuals were classified as having “financial hardship from medical bills” if they answered “yes” to either of the following questions: “In the past 12 months did you/anyone in your family have problems paying or were unable to pay any medical bills? Include bills for doctors, dentists, hospitals, therapists, medication, equipment, nursing home or home care” and “Do you/anyone in your family currently have any medical bills that are being paid off over time? This could include medical bills being paid off with a credit card, through personal loans, or bill paying arrangements with hospitals or other providers. The bills can be from earlier years as well as this year.” Individuals who answered “yes” to having any problems paying bills were then asked a follow-up question: “Do you/Does anyone in your family currently have any medical bills that you are unable to pay at all?” Those who answered “yes” to the follow-up question were classified as “unable to pay medical bills at all”, a proxy of the most vulnerable individuals.

2.3.2. Cost-related barriers to health

Individuals who reported money-saving behaviors in the past 12 months as described in Table 1, were defined to have CRN. Individuals who reported to have delayed care or have not sought out care because they could not afford it or because they were worried about the costs in the past 12 months were defined to have foregone/delayed care (Table 1) [10]. Financial distress was derived from 6 questions addressing “worry” regarding several financial matters in the past 12 months as mentioned in Table 1. All questions were answered on a 4-point scale, ranging from 0 (not worried at all) to 4 (very worried). An aggregate score was created, ranging from 6 to 24, with a higher score translating into higher stress [11], which was divided into quartiles. Individuals among the highest quartile (i.e. those with the highest scores) were then classified as having high financial distress.

2.4. Covariates

Other covariates included in this study were age (18-39 and 40-64 years), sex, family size (0, 1, and ≥2), family income (middle/high

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Itemized questions for Cost-related Barriers to Health.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost-related Medication Non-adherence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 You skipped medication doses to save money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 You took less medicine to save money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 You delayed filling a prescription to save money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forgone/Delayed Care</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Has medical care been delayed for you because of worry about the cost? (Do not include dental care)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Was there any time when you needed medical care, but did not get it because you couldn’t afford it?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Was there any time when you needed any of the following, but didn’t get it because you couldn’t afford it?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Prescription medicines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Mental healthcare or counseling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Dental care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Eyeglasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. To see a specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Follow-up care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High Financial Distress</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Not having enough money for retirement?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Not being able to pay medical costs of a serious illness or accident?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Not being able to maintain the standard of living you enjoy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Not being able to pay medical costs for nonmal healthcare?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Not having enough to pay your normal monthly bills?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Not being able to pay your rent, mortgage, or other housing costs?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We utilized data from the Integrated Public Use Microdata Series (http://www.ipums.org) to correctly calculate variance estimation for nationally representative results, since 5 years of pooled data were used for analysis. We divided the study population into 4 mutually exclusive nationally representative results, since 5 years of pooled data were used.

2.5. Statistical analysis

We conducted a sub-analysis among participants with ASCVD stratified by CRF profile status to ascertain unadjusted and adjusted associations with financial hardship from medical bills, an inability to pay bills at all, along with the aforementioned barriers to health. We performed all statistical analyses using Stata®, version 15.1 (StataCorp, LP, College Station, Texas, USA). A two-tailed p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses. All analyses were survey-specific and considered the complex NHIS survey design.

3. Results

Our study population consisted of 119,388 individuals (between 18 and 64 years), representing 72% of total cohort surveyed by NHIS from 2013 to 2017. This sample represented 189 million non-elderly US adults annually. Mean age was 41 ± 7.7 years and 49% were men. Overall, 4.6% (95% CI: 4.4%, 4.8%) of the weighted study population had ASCVD. Among those without ASCVD, 6% had a poor CRF profile, 31.8% average CRF profile, and 57.6% optimal CRF profile. General characteristics of the study population are displayed in Table 2. Non-ASCVD/optimal CRF profile individuals were younger (18–39 years old), from middle/high income families, with a lower comorbidity count, and were more likely to have at least some college education when compared to individuals with ASCVD.

3.1. Burden of ASCVD and non-ASCVD/CRF profile on financial hardship

Fig. 1 depicts the prevalence of financial hardship from medical bills and an inability to pay bills. Nearly half (45.2%) of the individuals with ASCVD had financial hardship from medical bills and 19% were unable to pay medical bills at all. In contrast, individuals without ASCVD and an

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>ASCVD</th>
<th>No ASCVD</th>
<th>Poor CRF Profile</th>
<th>Average CRF Profile</th>
<th>Optimal CRF Profile</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample (N)</td>
<td>119,388</td>
<td>6160</td>
<td>7878</td>
<td>38,768</td>
<td>66,582</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted sample, (weighted %)</td>
<td>188,861,117</td>
<td>8,696,486 (4.6)</td>
<td>11,381,648 (6.0)</td>
<td>60,102,341 (31.8)</td>
<td>108,680,642 (57.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Category, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>18-39</td>
<td>54,192 (47.6)</td>
<td>684 (12.4)</td>
<td>1061 (13.9)</td>
<td>14,010 (37.9)</td>
<td>38,437 (59.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-64</td>
<td>65,196 (52.4)</td>
<td>5476 (87.6)</td>
<td>6817 (86.1)</td>
<td>24,758 (62.1)</td>
<td>28,145 (40.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>55,045 (47.6)</td>
<td>3317 (57.5)</td>
<td>3445 (47.7)</td>
<td>17,661 (49.3)</td>
<td>30,622 (48.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>64,343 (52.4)</td>
<td>2834 (42.5)</td>
<td>4433 (52.3)</td>
<td>21,187 (50.7)</td>
<td>35,961 (51.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>Non-Hispanic White</td>
<td>73,736 (63.4)</td>
<td>3945 (67.1)</td>
<td>4844 (65.8)</td>
<td>23,632 (63.1)</td>
<td>41,315 (62.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Black</td>
<td>16,316 (12.9)</td>
<td>1118 (16.4)</td>
<td>1583 (17.3)</td>
<td>6207 (14.8)</td>
<td>7408 (11.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Asian</td>
<td>7219 (6.2)</td>
<td>159 (2.8)</td>
<td>197 (2.8)</td>
<td>1571 (4.5)</td>
<td>5292 (7.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>20,466 (17.5)</td>
<td>799 (13.7)</td>
<td>1093 (14.1)</td>
<td>6725 (17.5)</td>
<td>11,849 (18.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Size, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36,025 (17.0)</td>
<td>2423 (22.9)</td>
<td>2768 (19.6)</td>
<td>10,970 (15.7)</td>
<td>19,644 (17.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33,903 (28.8)</td>
<td>2095 (38.1)</td>
<td>2682 (37.5)</td>
<td>11,775 (30.6)</td>
<td>17,351 (26.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>33,903 (28.8)</td>
<td>1582 (28.5)</td>
<td>1810 (24.1)</td>
<td>8872 (23.7)</td>
<td>13,760 (21.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Income, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>Low-Income</td>
<td>37,353 (32.6)</td>
<td>2690 (53.8)</td>
<td>4001 (59.8)</td>
<td>21,843 (64.5)</td>
<td>42,819 (73.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor/Low-Income</td>
<td>41,033 (34.1)</td>
<td>317 (42.6)</td>
<td>3474 (40.2)</td>
<td>14,561 (35.5)</td>
<td>19,861 (27.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Status, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>Uninsured</td>
<td>100,559 (85.5)</td>
<td>5407 (88.2)</td>
<td>6098 (88.3)</td>
<td>31,890 (83.5)</td>
<td>56,354 (86.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insured</td>
<td>33,822 (14.5)</td>
<td>731 (11.8)</td>
<td>944 (11.7)</td>
<td>6724 (16.5)</td>
<td>9869 (13.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>Some College or Higher</td>
<td>76,488 (64.2)</td>
<td>3057 (51.1)</td>
<td>3902 (50.3)</td>
<td>21,988 (56.8)</td>
<td>47,541 (70.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS/GED or Less than HS</td>
<td>42,513 (35.8)</td>
<td>3081 (48.9)</td>
<td>3939 (49.7)</td>
<td>16,613 (43.2)</td>
<td>18,880 (29.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>13,074 (9.7)</td>
<td>1800 (32.7)</td>
<td>2886 (38.4)</td>
<td>22,891 (61.0)</td>
<td>49,225 (74.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>18,904 (15.4)</td>
<td>907 (15.2)</td>
<td>1154 (15.8)</td>
<td>5943 (16.7)</td>
<td>10,900 (18.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>25,812 (22.4)</td>
<td>1370 (24.4)</td>
<td>1810 (24.1)</td>
<td>8872 (23.7)</td>
<td>13,760 (21.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>25,812 (22.4)</td>
<td>1370 (24.4)</td>
<td>1810 (24.1)</td>
<td>8872 (23.7)</td>
<td>13,760 (21.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76,882 (66.2)</td>
<td>1880 (32.7)</td>
<td>2886 (38.4)</td>
<td>22,891 (61.0)</td>
<td>49,225 (74.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29,432 (24.1)</td>
<td>1862 (31.0)</td>
<td>2755 (35.1)</td>
<td>10,878 (27.4)</td>
<td>13,957 (20.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>13,074 (9.7)</td>
<td>2418 (36.3)</td>
<td>2237 (26.5)</td>
<td>4999 (11.6)</td>
<td>3420 (4.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; CRF, cardiovascular risk factor; HS, high school; GED, general equivalency diploma.
optimal CRF profile had a lower prevalence of financial hardship from medical bills (24.3%) and an inability paying medical bills (5.8%). Among ASCVD and non-ASCVD/poor CRF profile individuals, the prevalence of being unable to pay medical bills at all was 40% of those with financial hardship from medical bills when compared to only 24% among non-ASCVD/optimal CRF profile individuals. There was a stepwise decrease in the prevalence of financial hardship measures with improving CRF among those without ASCVD. These differences persisted among specific subpopulations as shown in Fig. 2. We found a higher prevalence of financial hardship and an inability to pay bills at all among those with lower income and without insurance coverage across ASCVD/CRF profile strata. Furthermore, individuals with ASCVD among middle/high income and insured strata, reported a higher prevalence of financial hardship from medical bills and an inability paying medical bills compared to non-ASCVD/average CRF profile individuals among poor/low income and uninsured strata.

Table 3 details the adjusted odds ratios for financial hardship from medical bills across the spectrum of ASCVD and CRF profile. Individuals without ASCVD but with a favorable CRF profile (optimal CRF profile: OR 0.44, 95% CI [0.41, 0.48]; average CRF profile: OR 0.66, 95% CI [0.61, 0.72]) had significantly lower odds of having financial hardship from medical bills when compared to individuals with ASCVD; the association was similar for being unable to pay medical bills (Table 3). On the other hand, those without established ASCVD and poor CRF profile had similar odds of experiencing financial hardship from medical bills (OR 0.90, 95% CI [0.82, 0.99]) or an inability paying medical bills (OR 0.89, 95% CI [0.78, 1.01]) as that of ASCVD individuals. This trend of lower odds of financial hardship and an inability to pay medical bills in association with a more favorable CRF profile persisted among all patient and disease-related covariates (Tables S1 & S2).

3.2. Burden of ASCVD and non-ASCVD/CRF profile on cost-related barriers to health

Overall, all cost-related barriers to health measures were most prevalent among individuals with ASCVD followed by non-ASCVD individuals with poor CRF profile (Fig. 3). These differences persisted among specific subpopulations (Fig. 4), with a higher prevalence among those with lower income and without insurance coverage. After accounting for demographic and social risk factors, those without ASCVD and optimal CRF profile individuals had the lowest odds of having CRN (OR 0.42, 95% CI [0.38, 0.48]), foregone/delayed care (OR 0.41 95% CI [0.37, 0.45]), and high financial distress (OR 0.52, 95% CI [0.47, 0.58]), when compared to individuals with ASCVD. Conversely, those without ASCVD and poor CRF had similar odds of experiencing all cost-related barriers to health when compared to individuals with ASCVD (Table 4).

3.3. Burden of CRF profile within ASCVD on financial hardship and cost-related barriers to health

In a sub-analysis among those with ASCVD, we noticed a stepwise decrease in the prevalence of all measures of financial hardship and cost-related barriers to health with improving CRF profile. Notably, the prevalence of being unable to pay medical bills at all and CRN were 50% lower among individuals with ASCVD and optimal CRF profile when compared with individuals with ASCVD and poor CRF profile (unable to pay medical bills at all: 11.5% vs 23.4%; CRN: 14.8% vs 27.3%). Figures S1 & S2 illustrate the prevalence the outcomes by ASCVD and CRF profile status. These differences persisted after accounting for demographic and socioeconomic determinants of health (Table S3).

4. Discussion

In a nationally representative sample of US non-elderly adults, we demonstrated that a favorable CRF profile was associated with lower financial hardship from medical bills along with a reduction in previously described barriers to health including CRN, foregone/delayed care, and high financial distress. Notably, we found that these results persisted among all family income and insurance strata. Finally, our results showed that an optimal CRF profile was inversely associated with financial hardship from medical bills and an inability to pay medical bills at all despite a lower income level and lack of insurance coverage.

In recent years with rising healthcare costs, financial burden incurred by patients and their families from medical bills has drawn significant national attention. It is now well established that individuals with ASCVD face a particularly high healthcare-related financial burden [12,13], with 1 in 10 families that have a member with ASCVD spending more than one-third of their income on health-related expenses [13]. These expenses can represent major financial challenges to patients, regardless of their insurance status, and can lead to a reduction in overall quality of health and psychological well-being along with other cost-related consequences and tradeoffs such as high financial distress, medication non-adherence, and foregone/delayed medical care [14,15]. The burden of financial hardship among individuals with ASCVD is worsened in the presence of other comorbid conditions such as diabetes mellitus [16,29].

Established risk factors of cardiovascular disease – many of which can be effectively modified, treated, or controlled – are important drivers of death and disability. Due to their significance and overall burden, the AHA’s 2020 Strategic Impact Goals emphasized the importance of optimizing these risk factors as part of a national goal, to reduce CVD morbidity, mortality, and economic burden. In recent years, multiple reports have attempted to estimate the potential economic impact of a favorable CRF profile. Notably, Willis et al. studied the relationship between cardiovascular status, ideal cardiovascular metrics and healthcare utilization in middle-aged individuals, and later-life healthcare costs [8]. They found that overall healthcare expenditures were significantly lower among those with a favorable CV health status. Similarly, Sullivan et al. demonstrated that individuals without cardiometabolic risk factor clusters, on average, spent $5,477 less on healthcare when compared with those with cardiometabolic risk factor clusters [17]. In a nationally representative US adult population, Valero-Elizondo et al. demonstrated lower out-of-pocket costs among those with optimal CRF profile irrespective of ASCVD status [7]. Importantly, these benefits were noted across all socioeconomic groups including those <65 years old.
Our current study adds to the existing literature by documenting significantly lower financial hardship measures among those with a favorable CRF status. Findings from our study reiterate the advantages conferred by an optimal CRF profile beyond lower incidence and mortality from chronic diseases (including diabetes [18], cancer [19,20], end-stage renal disease [21], and cardiovascular disease [22,23]) and lower healthcare expenditures and resource utilization [7–9,24]. Our results further emphasize the importance of preventing and managing modifiable risk factors, irrespective of underlying CVD status for the overall health at individual, family, and community levels, in addition to the economic benefits at the national level.

Our findings also provide insights that secondary prevention among individuals with ASCVD is equally important as primordial prevention in protecting one’s family from financial burden and other cost-related barriers to accessing healthcare services. In our study, we demonstrated that, among individuals with ASCVD, those with optimal CRF profile had significantly lower odds of these cost-related consequences than those with poor CRF profile. These findings underscore the importance of closely managing cardiovascular risk factors and behaviors among those with ASCVD to improve the overall cardiovascular health and financial stability of an individual and his or her family.

The management costs of ASCVD are substantial and constitute a major source of concern at both the national and individual level. While, attainment of an optimal CRF profile is associated with an overall relative

---

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASCVD</th>
<th>No ASCVD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor CRF Profile</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Hardship from Medical Bills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.90 (0.82, 0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unable to Pay Medical Bills at All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.89 (0.78, 1.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; CRF, cardiovascular risk factor; OR, odds ratios; CI, confidence interval.

Model 1: unadjusted model.

Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, geographic region, comorbidities, family size, family income, education, and insurance status.

---

**Fig. 2.** Title: Prevalence of Financial Hardship from Medical Bills by (A), family income (B), insurance status among Non-Elderly Adults by ASCVD and Non-ASCVD/CRF Profile Status.

Within each CRF profile strata, individuals with lower income and lack of insurance had a higher prevalence of financial hardship from medical bills and an inability to pay bills at all when compared with individuals with higher income and insurance coverage. Abbreviations: ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; CRF, cardiovascular risk factor.

**Fig. 3.** Title: Prevalence of Cost-Related Barriers to Health among Non-Elderly Adults by ASCVD and Non-ASCVD/CRF Profile Status.

There was a stepwise increase in cost-related medication non-adherence, foregone/delayed care, and high financial distress with worsening CRF profile. Abbreviations: ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; CRF, cardiovascular risk factor.
similar burden of uninsured and lower income individuals with optimal CRF reported who lacked health insurance and those with lower family income. In fact, bills, CRN, foregone medical care, and high financial distress when compared with individuals with higher income and insurance coverage. 

**Table 4**

Odds ratios of cost-related barriers to health among non-elderly adults by ASCVD and non-ASCVD/CRF profile status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No ASCVD</th>
<th>Poor CRF</th>
<th>Average CRF</th>
<th>Optimal CRF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASCVD</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-Related Medication Non-Adherence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1 Reference</td>
<td>0.86 (0.77, 0.97)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.52, 0.63)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.29, 0.36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2 Reference</td>
<td>0.91 (0.80, 1.03)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.59, 0.73)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.38, 0.48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foregone/Delayed care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1 Reference</td>
<td>0.86 (0.78, 0.95)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.49, 0.57)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.27, 0.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2 Reference</td>
<td>0.94 (0.84, 1.04)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.58, 0.69)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.37, 0.45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Financial Distress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1 Reference</td>
<td>0.94 (0.85, 1.03)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.60, 0.71)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.32, 0.38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2 Reference</td>
<td>1.02 (0.91, 1.14)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.72, 0.87)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.47, 0.58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; CRF, cardiovascular risk factor; OR, odds ratios; CI, confidence interval.

Model 1: Unadjusted Model.
Model 2: Adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, geographic region, comorbidities, family size, family income, education, and insurance status.

**Fig. 4.** Title: Prevalence of Cost-Related Barriers to Health by (A), family income (B), insurance status among Non-Elderly Adults by ASCVD and Non-ASCVD/CRF Profile Status.

Within each CRF profile strata, individuals with lower income and lack of insurance had a higher prevalence of cost-related medication non-adherence, foregone/delayed care, and high financial distress when compared with individuals with higher income and insurance coverage.
family to overall financial hardship due to excessive out-of-pocket healthcare costs, while similarly financial hardship from medical bills may be a contributor to financial difficulties for any given individual or family, predisposing to poor cardiovascular health. Therefore, a bilateral causal relationship is plausible, and it is crucial to note that financial hardship and poor cardiovascular health can cause and progressively worsen each other with poor social determinants of health serving as the root cause for either of them. This can be explained by the higher prevalence of ASCVD, poor CRF profile as well as financial hardship from medical bills and other cost-related barriers to health among lower socioeconomic (lower education and income) and uninsured families in our study. Second, since all the information regarding the study variables was obtained through self-reported surveys, there is a potential for recall bias. Therefore, underestimation of the true national prevalence of ASCVD and modifiable risk factor status is likely, as described previously, especially in chronic conditions [20]. Third, we were unable to calculate the ideal CVH status as defined by American Heart Association due to lack of registry information and clinical values of other relevant health factors.

In conclusion, we found that a favorable CRF profile is directly associated with a significantly lower prevalence of financial hardship from medical bills and other cost-related barriers to health, findings that support nationwide policies focusing on preventing and managing modifiable risk factors, irrespective of underlying CVD status.
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