

Baptist Health South Florida

## Scholarly Commons @ Baptist Health South Florida

---

All Publications

---

5-2020

### Evaluating the Association Between Vasopressin Use and In-Hospital Mortality in Patients with Septic Shock

Michael Pasqualicchio

*Baptist Hospital of Miami*, michaelpa@baptisthealth.net

Heidi Clarke

*Baptist Hospital of Miami*, heidic@baptisthealth.net

Jonathan Kline

*Baptist Hospital of Miami*, JonathanDK@baptisthealth.net

Payal Patel

*Baptist Hospital of Miami*, PayalDP@baptisthealth.net

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.baptisthealth.net/se-all-publications>



Part of the [Chemicals and Drugs Commons](#), [Critical Care Commons](#), and the [Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Commons](#)

---

#### Citation

Pasqualicchio, Michael; Clarke, Heidi; Kline, Jonathan; and Patel, Payal, "Evaluating the Association Between Vasopressin Use and In-Hospital Mortality in Patients with Septic Shock" (2020). *All Publications*. 3535.

<https://scholarlycommons.baptisthealth.net/se-all-publications/3535>

This Conference Lecture -- Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons @ Baptist Health South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons @ Baptist Health South Florida. For more information, please contact [Carrief@baptisthealth.net](mailto:Carrief@baptisthealth.net).



# Evaluating the association between vasopressin use and in-hospital mortality in patients with septic shock

Michael Pasqualicchio, PharmD, BCPS  
PGY-2 Critical Care Pharmacy Resident  
Baptist Hospital of Miami  
Michaelpa@baptisthealth.net



# Disclosure statement

The following contributors have nothing to disclose regarding any financial or nonfinancial relationships with the products described, reviewed, or evaluated in this presentation:

- Michael Pasqualicchio, PharmD, BCPS
- Heidi Clarke, PharmD, BCCCP
- Jonathan Kline, PharmD, BCCCP
- Payal Patel, PharmD, BCCCP



# Presentation objective

Evaluate the mortality associated with adding vasopressin to both low-dose and high-dose vasopressors in patients with septic shock



# Sepsis

- Uncontrolled, generalized, intravascular inflammatory response to infection
- Most common cause of death in intensive care units (ICUs)<sup>1</sup>
  - Increasing incidence over the last 10 years
  - In-hospital mortality rate of greater than 40%<sup>2</sup>
  - Most expensive condition to treat in 2013: \$24 billion in annual costs<sup>3</sup>
- Treatment:
  - Fluid resuscitation (30 ml/kg)
  - Prompt administration of broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents
  - Vasoactive medications



# Surviving Sepsis Guidelines

- Norepinephrine recommended as first-choice vasopressor (strong recommendation, moderate quality of evidence)
- Suggest adding either vasopressin (weak recommendation, low quality of evidence) or epinephrine (weak recommendation, low quality of evidence)
  - Raise MAP to target (recommended target of 65 mmHg)
  - Decrease norepinephrine requirements



# Vasopressin mechanism

- Distinct mechanism compared to catecholamine agents
- Activates V1 receptors
  - Induces constriction of vascular smooth muscle cells through increased intra-cellular calcium and decreased nitric oxide induced vasodilation
- Endogenous levels initially increase in response to hypotension but quickly decline within 36 hours
- Maintains efficacy in acidotic state



# VASST trial

- Multi-center, randomized, double-blind trial
- Patients receiving norepinephrine at a minimum rate of 5 mcg/minute were randomly divided into two groups:
  - Low-dose vasopressin (in addition to open-label vasopressors)
  - Norepinephrine (in addition to open-label vasopressors)
- Primary outcome: 28-day mortality after the initiation of study infusion
- Sub-group analysis that divided patients into additional two groups:
  - Less severe shock: Norepinephrine rate 5-14 mcg/minute at randomization
  - More severe shock : Norepinephrine rate  $\geq 15$  mcg/minute at randomization





# VASST trial results

Outcome	Norepinephrine (n=382)	Vasopressin (n=396)	p-value
<b>Time to study drug infusion - hours</b>	<b>11.5 ± 9.4</b>	<b>11.9 ± 8.9</b>	<b>0.57</b>
28-day mortality – no (%)	150 (39.3)	140 (35.4)	0.26
90-day mortality – no (%)	188 (49.6)	172 (43.9)	0.11
<b>More severe shock – NE ≥ 15 mcg/minute</b>			
28-day mortality – no/total no (%)	85/200 (42.5)	88/200 (44.0)	0.76
90-day mortality – no/total no (%)	105/199 (52.8)	103/199 (51.8)	0.84
<b>Less severe shock – NE 5-14 mcg/minute</b>			
28-day mortality – no/total no (%)	65/182 (35.7)	52/196 (26.5)	0.05
90-day mortality – no/total no (%)	83/180 (46.1)	69/193 (35.8)	0.04



# VANISH trial

- Factorial, double-blind, randomized trial
- Four groups:
  - Vasopressin and hydrocortisone
  - Vasopressin and placebo
  - Norepinephrine and hydrocortisone
  - Norepinephrine and placebo
- Primary outcome: Kidney failure-free days 28-days post randomization



# VANISH trial results

Outcome	Vasopressin + hydrocortisone (n=100)	Vasopressin + placebo (n=104)	Norepinephrine + hydrocortisone (n=101)	Norepinephrine + placebo (n=103)
Time to study drug infusion - hours	3.2 (1.8-5)	3.5 (2.5-5.4)	3.7 (1.7-5)	3.5 (1.4-5.4)
28-day mortality – no/total no (%)	33/100 (33.0)	30/104 (28.8)	29/101 (28.7)	27/103 (26.2)
ICU mortality – no/total no (%)	32/100 (32.0)	26/104 (25.0)	24/101 (23.8)	27/103 (26.2)
Hospital mortality – no/total no (%)	35/100 (35.0)	33/104 (31.7)	31/101 (30.7)	29/103 (28.2)
Time to shock reversal - hours	50 (28-92)	59 (27-112)	46 (23-72)	44 (23-90)



# Additional studies

Trial	Comparison	Outcomes
<p><b>Reardon et al.<sup>9</sup></b> Single-center, retrospective, chart-review N=71</p>	<p>Vasopressin initiation within 6 hours of shock onset vs. vasopressin within 6-48 hours of shock onset</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Early vasopressin resulted in significantly less new-onset arrhythmias</li> <li>▪ No difference in duration of catecholamine and vasopressin therapy</li> <li>▪ No difference in mortality or ICU/hospital length of stay</li> </ul>
<p><b>Hammond et al.<sup>10</sup></b> Single-center, prospective, open-label study N=82</p>	<p>Early addition of vasopressin within 4 hours of septic shock onset vs. norepinephrine monotherapy</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Significantly shorter time to MAP target (7.6 vs. 5.7 hours; p=0.058)</li> <li>▪ No difference in mortality, norepinephrine duration, vasopressin duration, or ICU/hospital length of stay</li> </ul>
<p><b>Hammond et al.<sup>11</sup></b> Single-center, retrospective, cohort study N=96</p>	<p>Early addition of vasopressin within 4 hours of septic shock onset vs. norepinephrine monotherapy</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Significantly shorter time to MAP target and hospital length of stay but no difference in ICU length of stay</li> <li>▪ Significantly greater reduction in SOFA score at 72 hours post shock onset</li> <li>▪ No difference in SOFA score at 6, 24, or 72 hours post shock onset</li> <li>▪ No difference in in-hospital or 28-day mortality</li> <li>▪ No difference in norepinephrine duration</li> </ul>
<p><b>Wu et al.<sup>12</sup></b> Single-center, retrospective, cohort study N=148</p>	<p>Vasopressin initiation for patients requiring <math>\geq 10</math> mcg/min of norepinephrine vs. patients requiring <math>\geq 50</math> mcg/min of norepinephrine</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ No difference in time to MAP target, mortality, or ICU/hospital length of stay</li> </ul>



# Study rationale

Conflicting evidence and lack of recommendations regarding:

- Optimal candidates for vasopressin
- Optimal timing of vasopressin initiation
- Optimal timing of vasopressin discontinuation

High relative cost of vasopressin compared to other vasopressors prioritizes its optimization



# Purpose

To evaluate outcomes for patients with septic shock based on the utilization of vasopressin

Research questions:

- Does the addition of vasopressin to low-dose vasopressors in patients with septic shock reduce in-hospital mortality?
- Does the addition of vasopressin to high-dose vasopressors in patients with septic shock reduce in-hospital mortality?



# Design

**Design:** IRB-exempt, retrospective chart review of patients treated with vasopressors and diagnosed with septic shock

**Time frame:** January 1, 2018 to September 17, 2018

**Setting:** Intensive care unit (ICU) at Baptist Hospital of Miami

**Sample size:** 149 patients



# Subject selection

## Inclusion criteria

- $\geq 18$  years old
- “Septic shock” documented in the medical record
- Vasopressor infusion

## Exclusion criteria

- Pregnant
- “Cardiogenic shock” documented in the medical record
- Post-cardiothoracic surgery
- $<12$  hours on vasopressors





# Comparator groups

Low-dose vasopressors:

$\leq 0.2$  mcg/kg/minute NE equivalents

- Patients treated with vasopressin
- Patients treated without vasopressin

High-dose vasopressors:

$> 0.2$  mcg/kg/minute NE equivalents

- Patients treated with vasopressin
- Patients treated without vasopressin



# Study outcomes

## **Primary outcome:**

- In-hospital mortality from any cause or initiation of hospice care

## **Secondary outcomes:**

- Total time on vasopressors (hours)
- Number of catecholamine agents required
- ICU length of stay (days)
- Hospital length of stay (days)





# Results: Low-dose arm



# Baseline characteristics: Low-dose arm

Characteristics	No vasopressin (n=35)	Vasopressin (n=20)	p-value
Age - years	70.7 ± 13.7	63.7 ± 18.7	0.12
Male sex – no (%)	23 (65.7)	11 (55)	0.43
APACHE II score	18.1 ± 5.8	18.1 ± 7.0	1.00
SOFA score	5.1 ± 2.2	5.6 ± 2.6	0.45
Lactic acid – mg/dL	3.0 ± 1.9	3.2 ± 2.6	0.74
MAP – mmHg	65.3 ± 12.0	63.7 ± 8.8	0.60
NE-equivalent dose – mcg/kg/min	10.4 ± 5.4	10.6 ± 7.8	0.91
All baseline characteristics at time of vasopressor initiation All values displayed as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise noted NE-equivalent dose equation from VASST trial NE-equivalent = Norepinephrine + Epinephrine + (Dopamine/2) + (Phenylephrine/10)			



# Outcomes: Low-dose arm

	No vasopressin (n=35)	Vasopressin (n=20)	p-value
In-hospital mortality – no (%)	7 (20.0)	7 (35.0)	0.22

Secondary outcomes	No vasopressin (n=35)	Vasopressin (n=20)	p-value
Mean time on vasopressors - hours	52.6 ± 56.3	75.5 ± 40.2	0.12
Mean time on vasopressors for patients surviving to discharge - hours	45.3 ± 38.4	66.9 ± 41.2	0.06
Mean ICU length of stay - days	3.6 ± 2.8	10.1 ± 9.0	0.0002
Mean hospital length of stay - days	12.8 ± 12.5	30.6 ± 27.4	0.001
Number of catecholamines at time of inclusion	One agent: 35 (100)	No other agents: 4 (20) One agent: 14 (70) Two agents: 2 (10)	
Maximum number of catecholamines following inclusion	One agent: 34 (97) Two agents: 1 (3)	One agent: 10 (50) Two agents: 9 (45) Three agents: 1 (5)	



# Additional data: Low-dose arm

	No vasopressin (n=35)	Vasopressin (n=20)
Steroids administered – no (%)	17 (49)	9 (45)
Midodrine administered – no (%) Average norepinephrine rate of 2.6 mcg/min at initiation	9 (26)	2 (10)
Mean time to vasopressin administration - hours (range)		10.8 (0-45.3)
Mean duration of vasopressin – hours (range)		40.7 (12.3-90.8)



# Results: High-dose arm





# Baseline characteristics: High-dose arm

Characteristics	No vasopressin (n=46)	Vasopressin (n=48)	p-value
Age - years	75.8 ± 10.8	73.2 ± 14.2	0.18
Male sex – no (%)	32 (69.6)	27 (56.3)	0.16
APACHE II score	21.2 ± 6.0	22.6 ± 6.5	0.28
SOFA score	6.0 ± 2.3	6.5 ± 3.1	0.38
Lactic acid – mg/dL	3.1 ± 1.9	4.7 ± 4.6	0.03
MAP – mmHg	67.1 ± 15.5	66.8 ± 15.3	0.93
NE-equivalent dose – mcg/kg/min	42.4 ± 49.2	58.2 ± 53.3	0.14
All baseline characteristics at time of vasopressor initiation All values displayed as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise noted NE-equivalent dose equation from VASST trial NE-equivalent = Norepinephrine + Epinephrine + (Dopamine/2) + (Phenylephrine/10)			



# Outcomes: High-dose arm

	No vasopressin (n=46)	Vasopressin (n=48)	p-value
In-hospital mortality – no (%)	20 (43.5)	34 (70.8)	0.007

Secondary outcomes	No vasopressin (n=46)	Vasopressin (n=48)	p-value
Mean time on vasopressors - hours	95.4 ± 86.8 Median: 74.2	171.7 ± 211.2 Median: 76.5	
Mean time on vasopressors for patients surviving to discharge - hours	81.9 ± 71.8 Median: 70.6	141.6 ± 142.1 Median: 70.5	
Mean ICU length of stay - days	7.3 ± 6.8 Median: 5.0	9.4 ± 15.2 Median: 5.0	0.39
Mean hospital length of stay - days	17.5 ± 12.0 Median: 14.0	16.1 ± 16.6 Median: 12.0	0.64
Number of catecholamines at time of inclusion	One agent: 35 (76) Two agents: 11 (24)	One agent: 35 (73) Two agents: 13 (27)	
Maximum number of catecholamines following inclusion	One agent: 37 (72) Two agents: 13 (28)	One agent: 22 (46) Two agents: 21 (44) Three agents: 4 (8) Four agents: 1 (2)	



# Additional data: High-dose arm

	No vasopressin (n=46)	Vasopressin (n=48)
Steroids administered – no (%)	21 (45.7)	25 (52.1)
Midodrine administered – no (%)	20 (43.5)	19 (39.6)
Mean time to vasopressin administration - hours (range)		24.7 (0-177.3)
Mean duration of vasopressin – hours (range)		62.4 (1.8-403)



# Limitations

- Higher vasopressin dose utilized than recommended by the Surviving Sepsis Guidelines
- Small sample size in low-dose vasopressor with vasopressin group (n=20)
- Potential for inaccurate charting of vasopressor initiation, rate change, and discontinuation
- Potential for inaccurate reporting of septic shock diagnosis in electronic medical record
- Unknown administration of fluid resuscitation prior to initiation of vasopressors
- Additional causes of mortality not accounted for
- Evaluated in-hospital mortality with unknown extended outcomes



# Conclusions

- No in-hospital mortality benefit associated with vasopressin utilization in both the low- and high-dose arms
- No difference in duration of vasopressor therapy associated with vasopressin administration in both the low- and high-dose arms
- Opportunity for optimization of vasopressin utilization based on poor associated outcomes



# Self-assessment question

- Which of the following is an outcome of the VASST trial?
  - A. 28-day mortality benefit seen with initiation of vasopressin prior to norepinephrine
  - B. Increased 28-day mortality for patients administered vasopressin
  - C. Significantly more days free of organ dysfunction for patients administered vasopressin
  - D. 90-day mortality benefit for patients with less-severe septic shock administered vasopressin



# Self-assessment answer

- Which of the following is an outcome of the VASST trial?
  - A. 28-day mortality benefit seen with initiation of vasopressin prior to norepinephrine
  - B. Increased 28-day mortality for patients administered vasopressin
  - C. Significantly more days free of organ dysfunction for patients administered vasopressin
  - D. 90-day mortality benefit for patients with less-severe septic shock administered vasopressin



# References

1. Kadri SS, Rhee C, Strich JR, et al. Estimating ten-year trends in septic shock incidence and mortality in the United States Academic Medical Centers using clinical data. *Chest*. 2017;151:278-85.
2. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*. 2016;315:801-10.
3. Torio CM, Moore BJ. National Inpatient Hospital Costs: The most expensive conditions by payer, 2013. Rockville MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. May 2016.
4. Landry DW, Levin HR, Gallant EM, et al. Vasopressin deficiency contributes to the vasodilation of septic shock. *Circulation*. 1997;95:1122-1125.
5. Marsh JD, Margolis TI, Kim D. Mechanism of diminished contractile response to catecholamines during acidosis. *Am J Physiol*. 1988;254(1, pt 2):H20-H27.
6. Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock: 2016. *Crit Care Med*. 2017;45:486-552.
7. Russell JA, Walley KR, Singer J, et al. Vasopressin versus norepinephrine infusion in patients with septic shock. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358:877-887.
8. Gordon AC, Mason AJ, Thirunavukkarasu N, et al; VANISH Investigators. Effect of early vasopressin vs norepinephrine on kidney failure in patients with septic shock: the VANISH randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2016;316:509-518.
9. Reardon DP, DeGrado JR, Anger KE, Szumita PM. Early vasopressin reduces incidence of new onset arrhythmias. *J Crit Care*. 2014;29:482-485.
10. Hammond DA, Ficek OA, Painter JT, et al. Prospective open-label trial of early concomitant vasopressin and norepinephrine therapy versus initial norepinephrine monotherapy in septic shock. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2018;38:531-538.
11. Hammond DA, Cullen J, Painter JT, et al. Efficacy and safety of the early addition of vasopressin to norepinephrine in septic shock. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2019;34:910-916.
12. Wu JY, Stollings JL, Wheeler AP, Semler MW, Rice TW. Efficacy and outcomes after vasopressin guideline implementation in septic shock. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2017;51:13-20.
13. Gordon AC, Mason AJ, Perkins GD, et al. The interaction of vasopressin and corticosteroids in septic shock. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2014;42:1325-1333.
14. Der-Nigoghossian C, Hammond DA, Ammar MA. Narrative review of controversies involving vasopressin use in septic shock and practical considerations. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2020;0:1-9.





# Acknowledgements

- Heidi Clarke, PharmD, BCCCP
- Jonathan Kline, PharmD, BCCCP
- Payal Patel, PharmD, BCCCP



# Evaluating the association between vasopressin use and in-hospital mortality in patients with septic shock

Michael Pasqualicchio, PharmD, BCPS  
PGY-2 Critical Care Pharmacy Resident  
Baptist Hospital of Miami  
Michaelpa@baptisthealth.net