All Publications

2016

The Associations between Various Ectopic Visceral Adiposity and Body Surface Electrocardiographic Alterations: Potential Differences between Local and Remote Systemic Effects

Hiram Bezerra
Miami Cardiac & Vascular Institute

Citation

The Associations between Various Ectopic Visceral Adiposity and Body Surface Electrocardiographic Alterations: Potential Differences between Local and Remote Systemic Effects

Po-Ching Chi[1,2,3,4], Shun-Chuan Chang[3], Chun-Ho Yun[4,5], Jen-Yuan Kuo[1,2,3], Chung-Lieh Hung[1,2,3,4,5]*, Charles Jia-Yin Hou[1,2,3,4,5], Chia-Yuan Liu[6], Fei-Shih Yang[5], Tung-Hsin Wu[7], Hiram G. Bezerra[8], Hung-I Yeh[1,2,3]*

1 Division of Cardiology, Departments of Internal Medicine, Mackay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, 2 Medical Research, Mackay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, 3 Department of Medicine, Mackay Medical College, New Taipei City, Taiwan, 4 Mackay Medicine, Nursing and Management College, New Taipei City, Taiwan, 5 Department of Radiology, Mackay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, 6 Department of Gastroenterology, Mackay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, 7 Department of Biomedical Imaging and Radiological Sciences, National Yang Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan, 8 Cardiovascular MRI and CT Program, Baptist Cardiac Vascular Institute, Miami, United States of America

* jotaro3791@gmail.com (CLH); yehmmc@mmc.edu.tw (HIY)

Abstract

Background

The associations between pericardial adiposity and altered atrial conduction had been demonstrated. However, data comparing differential effects of various body sites visceral adiposity on atrial and ventricular electrocardiographic alterations remains largely unknown.

Methods and Results

We assessed both peri-cardial fat (PCF) and peri-aortic visceral adiposity (TAT) using dedicated computed tomography (CT) software (Aquarius 3D Workstation, TeraRecon, San Mateo, CA, USA), with anthropometrics including body mass index (BMI) and biochemical data obtained. We further related PCF and TAT data to standardized 12-leads electrocardiogram (ECG), including P and QRS wave morphologies. Among 3,087 study subjects (mean age, 49.6 years; 28% women), we observed a linear association among greater visceral adiposity burden, leftward deviation of P and QRS axes, longer PR interval and widened QRS duration (all p<0.001). These associations became attenuated after accounting for BMI and baseline clinical co-variates, with greater PCF remained independently associated with prolonged QRS duration (β = 0.91 [95% CI: 0.52, 1.31] per 1-SD increase in PCF, p<0.001). Finally, both PCF and TAT showed incremental value in identifying abnormally high PR interval (>200ms, likelihood-ratio: 33.17 to 41.4 & 39.03 for PCF and TAT) and

widened QRS duration (>100ms, likelihood-ratio: 55.67 to 65.4 & 61.94 for PCF and TAT, all X^2 p<0.05) when superimposed on age and BMI.

Conclusion

We show in our data greater visceral fat burden may have differential associations on several body surface electrocardiographic parameters. Compared to remote adiposity, those surrounding the heart tissue demonstrated greater influences on altered cardiac activation or conduction, indicating a possible local biological effect.

Introduction

Visceral adiposity, including the pericardial fat (PCF) and thoracic peri-aortic adipose tissue (TAT), has been shown to exert their biological functions which implicates in the pathogenesis of metabolic syndrome and atherosclerosis [1, 2]. Through its paracrine effects on adjacent myocardium [3], PCF is associated with metabolic derangements, insulin resistance and systemic inflammation [4, 5], together with certain cardiac structural and functional remodeling [6]. More recently, there is a growing body of literature has demonstrated that PCF may alter atrial electrical features, and the burden of PCF is tightly linked to atrial fibrillation (AF) incidence [7–9]. The underlying mechanisms were more likely to be associated with its detrimental effects in intra-atrial conduction [10] as the consequences of atrial remodeling.

On the other hand, TAT, the localized fat surrounding thoracic aorta remote from myocardium has also been shown to be associated with systemic inflammation [5] and some local cytokine secretions [11]. Recent studies indicated that TAT burden is related to increased aortic dimension [12] and altered vascular function [13]. However, whether this "remote" visceral adiposity may also exert some biological effects on cardiac electrophysiology remains poorly understood.

Based on these, we speculated that visceral adiposities may exert certain electrical remodeling on cardiac structures. While most prior studies focused on PCF and intra-atrial conduction indexes, little is known about various adiposity measures (either local or remote sites) on whole heart electrocardiographic characters, as featured by body surface electrocardiogram (ECG). The goal of the current work is to characterize these associations using computed tomography (CT) defined measures in an asymptomatic population.

Materials and Methods

Study population

From Jan 2005 through Dec 2012, a total of 3,411 consecutive subjects (mean age: 49.8±9.8) who participated in a primary cardiovascular health survey at a tertiary medical center in Taipei, Taiwan were enrolled. The settings, together with structured questionnaire, physical examinations, medical histories, detailed anthropometric measures and biochemical data were all obtained as previously published [5]. Patients with previous known cardiovascular diseases including prior myocardial infarction, stroke, coronary arterial disease, peripheral arterial disease or prior hospitalization for congestive heart failure were excluded. Among them, 3,194 had body surface ECG data available. Participants with baseline ECG conditions that may influence assessment of P wave morphology, PR interval or QRS-wave durations, such as

advanced degree AV block, wandering atrial pacemaker rhythm, AF or flutter, pre-excitation, and any known form of bundle-branch block were all excluded.

The presence of a history of hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure of more than140mmHg, diastolic blood pressure of more than 90mmHg, or previously diagnosed hypertension under pharmaceutical control. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting glucose level of more than126mg/dL or previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus under pharmaceutical control. Hyperlipidemia was defined a known history and/or the use of lipid lowering drugs, such as statins or fibrates, on a daily basis.

This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki. It was approved by local ethical institutional committee (Mackay Memorial Hospital) for retrospective data analysis without informed consent of study participants (IRB No: 15MMHIS183e). Data security was guaranteed and all authors had no access to patient identifying information before and after data analysis. Study participants involved in this study were not under clinical service of current study physicians or researchers.

Lab data acquisition and analysis

A Hitachi 7170 Automatic Analyzer (Hitachi Corporation, Hitachinaka Ibaraki, Japan) was used to measure fasting and postprandial glucose levels (hexokinase method), glycosylated hemoglobin, creatinine (kinetic colorimetric assay), total cholesterol and triglyceride. Lipid profiles including low-density lipoprotein and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol were obtained using homogenous enzymatic colorimetric assay. The estimated glomerular infiltration rate (eGFR) was derived from MDRD equation by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation recommended by National Kidney Foundation [14].

Multi-detector CT measurements of visceral adipose tissue quantification

Scanning was performed using a 16-slice multi-detector CT (MDCT) scanner (Sensation 16; Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany) with 16 * 0.75 mm collimation, rotation time of 420 msec, and tube voltage of 120 kV. In one breath hold, images were acquired from above the level of tracheal bifurcation to below the base of heart using prospective electrocardiographic triggering, with the center of the acquisition at 70% of the R-R interval. From the raw data, the images were reconstructed with standard kernel in 3 mm thick axial, non-overlapping slices and 25 cm field of view.

PCF volumes were quantified from the heart CT scan using a dedicated workstation (Aquarius 3D Workstation, TeraRecon, San Mateo, CA, USA). The semi-automatic technique was developed for quantification of adipose tissue volumes. We traced pericardium in axial MDCT images manually from the level of left main coronary artery to diaphragm every four to six slices. The computer software then automatically interpolated and traced pericardium along the manually traced areas. All automatically traced slices were verified and modified if necessary for accuracy. The definition of adipose tissue is pixels within a window of -195 to -45 HU and a window center of -120 HU. Peri-cardial fat measure (PCF) was defined as any adipose tissue located within the pericardial sac (Fig 1A and 1B), while peri-aortic adiposity (TAT) (Fig 1C and 1D) was defined by the area immediately surrounding the thoracic aorta (defined by a line drawn horizontally through the esophagus, which connected to the left costo-vertebral joint); and posteriorly by the right lateral border of the vertebral body and the anterior edge of the vertebral body. The pulmonary artery bifurcation served as the most rostral landmark and the area of interest extended caudally 67.5 mm. Of all 3194 subjects with 12-lead ECG data available, 3087 (96.6%) had complete CT data with good images quality rendered for

Fig 1. The illustration of single PCF (A) or TAT (C) slice re-construction by using dedicated CT software (Aquarius 3D Workstation, TeraRecon, San Mateo, CA, USA) and subsequent semi-automatic 3D volumes (B: PCF, D: TAT) using CT dataset by summation methods in our current study.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158300.g001

visceral adiposity quantification. The intra-observer and inter-observer co-efficient variation of PCF and TAT were 4.27%, 4.87% as well as 6.58%, 6.81% in a random subset of 40 subjects [15].

Body surface electrocardiogram

The resting 12-lead ECG was performed in all participants, using a Page WriterTC30 electro-cardiograph (Phillip, Andover, USA) after a resting of period of at least 10 minutes. A standard ECG protocol was conducted with 10-second data stored for analysis. Examinations were recorded at a paper speed of 25 mm/s and an electric signal amplification of 1 mV/cm. Heart rate, P duration, PR interval, QRS duration, QT and QTc intervals, P-, QRS- and T-axes, P(II), S(V1), and R(V5) axes were all obtained and exported automatically from the recordings by PageWriter TC Cardiograph Software. The same software was used to detect the timings of all QRS complexes and calculated R-R intervals (ms) automatically. All ECGs strips were checked for falsely identified QRS complexes or non-sinus beats, and were further confirmed by physicians. Mean HR was calculated (in beats/min) as 60 divided by the mean R-R interval in seconds. The P and QRS axes were approximated by calculating the numerical sum of spatial deflections over time (amplitudes of positive deflections are added and those of negative deflections subtracted). PR abnormality or irregularity, other forms of cardiac rhythm anomaly including AF, flutter or wandering rhythm, and QRS morphology and patterns for bundle branch block were judged by two experienced cardiologists (CLH and PCC), together with implant of pacemaker by history taking and medical history review.

Reproducibility of ECG measurements

Variability of ECG measurements in our department was assessed by 2 repeated ECGs recorded 1 week apart in a random sample of 30 subjects using same electrocardiograph equipment (Page WriterTC30, Phillip, Andover, USA), again with ECG-related parameters automatically derived

from the recordings (PageWriter TC Cardiograph Software). The root mean squared difference between 2 ECG data for all relevant parameters were calculated and divided by the mean of the absolute values to represent each coefficient of variability (COV). The COV for P axis, PR interval, QRS axis, and QRS duration was 2.9%, 1.8%, 3.2%and 1.6%, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Continuous data were presented as mean ± standard deviation [SD]. Continuous variables across graded subgroups were compared with nonparametric trend test (Wilcoxon-Rank-Sum test) across ordered groups, with categorical or proportional prevalence data expressed as proportion and compared by Chi square or Fisher Exact test as appropriate. The association of visceral adiposity (either PCF or TAT) as independent variable and various ECG indices as dependent variables was analyzed by linear regression, and further adjusted for key baseline clinical covariates, including age, sex, BMI, blood pressure, biochemical data (such as fasting sugar, lipid profiles), renal function in terms of eGFR, medical histories (such as hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular disease) and lifestyles (smoking and regular alcohol consumption) in multi-variate models. ECG indices including electrical axis, PR interval, QRS duration, and QT interval with and without corrections were sequentially entered into models. Owing to the collinearity, PCF and TAT were entered into models (as independent variables) separately, with linear regression or multi-variate models performed as mentioned above. The likelihood ratio test were used for comparisons of the incremental values of visceral adiposity (PCF or TAT) superimposed on age or BMI in identifying abnormally high PR interval (defined as PR >200ms) or longer QRS duration (defined as QRS >100ms).

All data was analyzed with the software STATA 9.0 package (Stata Corp., College Station, Texas). P value was set at two-tailed probability and a p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Result

Baseline characteristics of study subjects

The baseline characteristics of the 3087 study subjects (mean age, 49.6 years; 28% women) are listed in Tables 1 and 2 according to PCF and TAT quintiles, with greater proportion of men than women across PCF and TAT quintiles. Individuals in the higher quintiles of PCF and TAT were older, with higher BMI, together with higher systolic and diastolic blood pressures (*p* for trend: <0.001). The associations between BMI, PCF and TAT were 0.5 & 0.55 (both p<0.001), with a positive linear association observed between PCF and TAT (r = 0.61, p<0.001). Greater fasting glucose, total cholesterol, LDL and TG level, together with lower HDL and eGFR in higher PCF and TAT groups were observed (*p* for trend: <0.001). Finally, individuals in the higher quintiles are more likely to have HTN, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular diseases and to be active smokers.

The association between visceral adiposity and body surface ECG parameters

As shown in Table 3, the axes of the P, QRS and T waves were progressively left-shifted with greater volume of PCF and TAT (all *p* for trend < 0.001). The PR interval and QRS duration were significantly longer in higher quintiles of PCF and TAT (*p* for trend < 0.001). A positive linear association between visceral adipose burden (either PCF or TAT) and PR interval, QRS duration and axis were further shown in Fig 2. In unadjusted linear regressions (Table 4), both greater PCF and TAT volumes (per 50ml and 5 ml increase, respectively) were associated with

Table 1. Baseline demographic data of all study subjects based on visceral adiposity (either PCF or TAT) stratification in current study.

PCF, ml (25th -75th)	Q1: 34.04~45.94	Q2: 54.15~61.05	Q3: 67.59~74.95	Q4: 83.16~93.18	Q5: 105.75~134	p for trend
Age, years	45.25±9.31	48.6±8.83	49.71±9.02	50.95±9.5	53.66±9.89	<0.001
Gender, male (%)	321(10.40%)	429(13.90%)	468(15.16%)	498(16.13%)	521(16.88%)	<0.001
Weight, kg	59.44±10.23	65.11±11.37	68.98±10.72	71.73±12.46	76.77±12.32	<0.001
BMI, kg/m^2	22.16±2.84	23.59±3.35	24.69±2.95	25.66±3.62	27.47±8.32	<0.001
SBP, mmHg	117.17±17.29	119.96±19.6	123.94±17.36	123.39±19.16	127.39±19.3	<0.001
WBC Count, X 10^3/mm^3	5.83±1.62	6.13±1.63	6.19±1.55	6.4±1.57	6.56±1.59	<0.001
Fasting Glucose, mg/dL	94.24±13.47	98.8±17.05	102.79±24.36	104.36±22.42	108.51±28.7	<0.001
Cholesterol, mg/dL	194.03±33.24	201.28±39.96	206.03±34.52	203.93±35.81	204.86±37.86	<0.001
Triglyceride, mg/dL	104.85±63.57	134.82±176.28	144.93±88.12	154.04±97.79	171.03±116.53	<0.001
LDL, mg/dL	121.18±30.03	129.94±31.94	134.81±31.17	133.82±32.74	134.06±33.36	<0.001
HDL, mg/dL	59.21±15.32	53.79±13.78	51.85±13.36	49.32±11.33	47.02±11.99	<0.001
eGFR, mL/min/1.73m^2	86.69±15.69	82.7±14.19	81.48±13.67	81.36±15.41	79.95±15.65	<0.001
Hypertension, %	45(7.3%)	82(13.3%)	106(17.1%)	116(18.8%)	157(25.5%)	<0.001
Diabetes, %	13(2.1%)	35(5.7%)	30(4.9%)	39(6.3%)	56(9.1%)	<0.001
Hyperlipidemia, %	15(2.4%)	37(6%)	36(5.8%)	51(8.3%)	74(12%)	<0.001
CVD, %	12(2%)	20(3.3%)	20(3.2%)	36(5.8%)	46(7.5%)	<0.001
Alcohol use, %	29(4.7%)	30(4.9%)	35(5.7%)	43(7%)	43(7%)	0.241
Smoking, %	49(7.9%)	58(9.4%)	71(11.5%)	86(14%)	95(15.4%)	<0.001

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index, CVD: cardiovascular diseases, eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate, HDL: high density apo-lipoprotein, LDL: low density apo-lipoprotein, PCF: pericardial fat, SBP: systolic blood pressure, TAT: peri-aortic adipose tissue, WBC: white blood cell count.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158300.t001

more leftward axes of P, QRS and T waves. Per 50ml increase of PCF is associated with 6.2ms increase in PR interval, nearly 2.5ms increase of QRS duration, and a leftward QRS axis deviation of 8.9degree (all p < 0.001), with per 5ml increase of TAT is associated with 5.8ms increase in PR interval, 2.1ms increase of QRS duration, and a leftward QRS axis deviation of 8.96

Table 2. Baseline demographic data of all study subjects based on visceral adiposity (PCF and TAT) stratification in current study.

TAT, ml (25th -75th)	Q1: 2.29~3.25	Q2: 4.14~5.07	Q3: 5.96~6.87	Q4: 7.82~9.14	Q5: 10.93~14.57	p for trend
Age, years	48.33±8.88	50.6±9.32	51.09±9	53.17±9.02	54.97±9.04	<0.001
Gender, male (%)	87(32.83%)	188(70.41%)	226(85.28%)	240(90.23%)	257(96.98%)	<0.001
Weight, kg	57.32±8.68	65.63±9.69	69.91±9.93	72.49±10.03	78.22±11.47	<0.001
BMI, kg/m^2	21.89±2.76	23.73±2.63	24.91±2.98	25.68±2.94	27.35±3.38	<0.001
SBP, mmHg	115.11±16.52	121.73±16.89	123.9±15.54	127.36±14.89	128.71±16.31	<0.001
WBC Count, X 10^3/mm^3	5.62±1.49	6.01±1.55	6.22±1.58	6.55±1.57	6.72±1.63	<0.001
Fasting Glucose, mg/dL	93.14±11.26	98.03±16.05	101.81±22.83	102.7±20	112.99±31.43	<0.001
Cholesterol, mg/dL	195.77±35.08	201.89±35.54	204.3±36.46	204.88±38.71	203.24±36.32	<0.001
Triglyceride, mg/dL	94.54±55.62	125.4±72.15	142.73±86.89	162.99±178.58	183.95±126.25	<0.001
LDL, mg/dL	121.2±31.32	130.09±32.23	135.13±32.31	135.35±30.82	132.28±32.5	<0.001
HDL, mg/dL	62.37±15.43	55.22±13.57	50.69±12.18	47.44±10.01	45.4±10.2	<0.001
eGFR, mL/min/1.73m^2	87.4±15.06	84.39±15.56	82.03±13.92	80.62±13.86	77.7±15.28	<0.001
HTN, %	38(6.1%)	67(10.9%)	83(13.5%)	115(18.6%)	203(33%)	<0.001
DM, %	12(1.9%)	20(3.3%)	35(5.7%)	36(5.8%)	70(11.4%)	<0.001
Hyperlipidemia, %	15(2.4%)	32(5.2%)	46(7.5%)	50(8.1%)	70(11.4%)	<0.001
CVD, %	11(1.8%)	29(4.7%)	24(3.9%)	23(3.7%)	47(7.6%)	<0.001
Alcohol use, %	21(3.4%)	26(4.2%)	33(5.4%)	44(7.1%)	56(9.1%)	<0.001
Smoking, %	31(5%)	54(8.8%)	72(11.7%)	96(15.5%)	106(17.2%)	<0.001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158300.t002

Table 3. Several body surface 12-lead ECG parameters across visceral adiposity stratification measures (PCF and TAT) in current study

PCF, ml	Q1: 34.04~45.94	Q2: 54.15~61.05	Q3: 67.59~74.95	Q4: 83.16~93.18	Q5: 105.75~134	p for trend
P Axis,°	54.77±24.38	52.01±22.5	48.49±25.78	45.56±25.87	43.76±23.38	<0.001
PR Interval, ms	160.38±19.89	163.95±18.99	164.97±20.29	166.54±20.04	170.69±20.89	<0.001
QRS Axis,°	58.78±34.51	52.58±38	51.13±37.6	44.21±39.17	42.04±42.9	<0.001
QRS Duration, ms	85.65±8.89	87.58±8.3	88.89±8.8	88.98±8.53	90.58±8.52	<0.001
TAT, ml	Q1: 2.29~3.25	Q2: 4.14~5.07	Q3: 5.96~6.87	Q4: 7.82~9.14	Q5: 10.93~14.57	p for trend
P Axis,°	52.73±26.59	51.53±25.12	50.69±23.97	46.51±24.52	43.25±22.04	<0.001
PR Interval, ms	158.08±18.68	163.33±19.84	165.08±19.97	168.57±18.8	171.38±21.54	<0.001
QRS Axis,°	58.48±33.52	53.46±38.5	51.78±36.06	46.21±39.3	38.84±43.92	<0.001
QRS Duration, ms	85.14±8.86	88±8.36	88.34±8.62	89.96±8.48	90.2±8.53	<0.001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158300.t003

degree (all p < 0.001). Both PCF and TAT increase were related to leftward P axes deviation (6.5 & 4.5 degree per 1 SD increase of PCF & TAT). For all relationships between visceral adiposity (either PCF or TAT) and various ECG parameters as dependent variables, there were no sex modification effects (all sex interactions p = NS).

PCF and TAT above 75% upper limit (corresponding to 93.19, 9.21ml) in our population are associated with OR: 1.58, 95% CI: 1.14–2.2, p = 0.006 & 2.4, 95% CI: 1.43–4.04, p = 0.001 for abnormally higher PR interval ($> = 200$ms), and associated with OR: 1.62, 95% CI: 1.26–2.09, p<0.001 & 1.46, 95% CI: 1.13–1.89, p = 0.004 for abnormally higher QRS duration ($> = 100$ms). Compared to PCF at 5% lower limit, those with PCF at 95% upper limit showed 16ms longer PR interval, and 6.7ms longer QRS duration. Further, those with TAT at 95% upper limit demonstrated 21.8ms longer PR interval, and 6.0 ms longer QRS duration compared to those at 5% lower limit in our population. When PCF and TAT were separately superimposed on age and BMI, there are significant increase of chi-square value in identifying abnormally higher PR interval (>200ms) or QRS duration (>100ms) using likelihood-ratio test (Fig 3, X^2 values: from 33.17 to 41.4 & 39.03 for PCF and TAT in predicting abnormally high PR interval [>200ms]; from 55.67 to 65.4 & 61.94 for PCF and TAT in predicting abnormally high QRS duration [>100ms], respectively, all p<0.05).

Multi-variate analysis. After adjustment for age and gender (Table 4, model 1), the independent associations between increased TAT or PCF burden (per 50ml and 5 ml increase, respectively) and all ECG variables remain unchanged (all p<0.05), though the association between TAT and QRS duration becomes attenuated after further adjustment for BMI (Coef: 0.43, p = 0.NS in Table 4, model 2). After further adjusting for other clinical co-variates related

Fig 2. The associations between PCF or TAT on several electrocardiographic indices, including PR interval, QRS duration and QRS axes. No sex interactions were observed n these associations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158300.g002

Table 4. The associations between visceral adiposity and body surface ECG data in uni-variate and multi-variate models

Uni-variate	PCF (per +50ml)			TAT (per +5ml)			Multi-variate	PCF (per +50ml)			TAT (per +5ml)		
	Coef	SE	p value	Coef	SE	p value	Model 1	Coef	SE	p value	Coef	SE	p value
P Axis,°	-6.53	0.70	<0.001	-4.54	0.54	<0.001	P Axis,°	-7.85	0.76	<0.001	-6.82	0.65	<0.001
PR Interval, ms	6.22	0.58	<0.001	5.84	0.44	<0.001	PR Interval, ms	3.25	0.61	<0.001	2.90	0.52	<0.001
QRS Axis,°	-8.94	1.05	<0.001	-8.96	0.81	<0.001	QRS Axis,°	-6.21	1.14	<0.001	-7.91	0.97	<0.001
QRS Duration, ms	2.52	0.32	<0.001	2.06	0.24	<0.001	QRS Duration, ms	1.71	0.34	<0.001	0.65	0.29	0.025
Multi-variate	PCF (per +50ml)			TAT (per +5ml)			Multi-variate	PCF (per +50ml)			TAT (per +5ml)		
Model 2	Coef	SE	p value	Coef	SE	p value	Model 3	Coef	SE	p value	Coef	SE	p value
P Axis,°	-5.05	0.81	<0.001†	-4.23	0.70	<0.001†	P Axis,°	-4.48	0.87	<0.001†	-3.54	0.77	<0.001†
PR Interval, ms	2.04	0.66	0.002†	1.79	0.57	0.002†	PR Interval, ms	1.80	0.71	0.011†	1.3	0.63	0.037†
QRS Axis,°	-3.82	1.22	0.002†	-6.08	1.06	<0.001†	QRS Axis,°	-3.04	1.29	0.019†	-4.72	1.16	<0.001†
QRS Duration, ms	1.64	0.33	<0.001†	0.43	0.29	0.132†	QRS Duration, ms	1.69	0.36	<0.001	0.36	0.27	0.171

Model 1: adjusted for age, gender;

Model 2: adjusted for age, gender, BMI;

Model 3: adjusted for age, gender, BMI, SBP, Pulse rate, HDL, LDL, eGFR, hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, current smoking and alcohol use.

† in which models BMI was significant (p<0.05).

to cardiovascular diseases, both increased PCF and TAT burden are still associated with left-ward P (Coef: -4.48 and -3.54), longer PR internal (Coef: 1.8 and 1.3), and leftward QRS axes deviation (-3.04 and -4.72, respectively, all p<0.05. Table 4, model 3), though QRS duration only remains significantly associated with greater PCF (Coef: 1.69, p<0.001) rather than TAT (Coef: 0.36, p = NS). BMI was also statistically significant in all relevant multi-variate models (with electrophysiological features as dependent variable) except for QRS duration. Compared to TAT, PCF demonstrated greater effects on all these associations.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the associations between visceral adiposity measures, either PCF or TAT, and several body surface electrocardiographic features in a large Asian population of 3,087 subjects. The main findings were 2-folds: 1) both PCF and TAT volumes were negatively associated with P and QRS-wave axes and positively associated with PR interval. These associations remained independent even after accounting for clinical variables that potentially may produce atherosclerosis and myocardial hypertrophy; 2) greater PCF was strongly associated with longer QRS duration, with TAT showed modest correlation which attenuated after adjustment.

PCF, TAT and LA remodeling

Greater visceral adiposity burden, including both PCF and TAT, had been proposed associated with systemic inflammation, metabolic derangements, and a variety of cardiovascular diseases [1, 2, 15–17]. Even though, their biological roles and behaviors may vary to a degree. For example, PCF has been shown to exert local inflammatory effects that correlate inflammatory cell infiltrates within PCF [18], and shows higher pro-inflammatory cytokines (eg. resistin) or lower anti-inflammatory cytokine (eg. adiponectin) when compared to gluteal adipose tissue [19]. Instead, leptin expression was significantly higher in peri-aortic adipose tissue [11]. On one hand, given the lack of fibrous, fascial layer of myocardium to isolate free fatty acids and adipocytokines from surrounding adipose tissue, PCF may influence the myocardium directly

Fig 3. Likelihood ratio tests demonstrated the incremental value of PCF and TAT in identifying body surface 12-leads ECG anomaly, including prolonged PR interval (>200ms) and QRS duration (>100ms) when superimposed on age and body size measure in terms of BMI.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158300.g003

through epicardial to subepicardial connective tissue between the muscle bundles [20]. On the other hand, a remote, systemic biological inflammatory effect had also been reported in certain visceral adipose tissue [19, 21].

Owing to the relatively thin wall and abundant epicardial adiposity, the local effects of PCF on atrial remodeling may be theoretically more pronounced [16, 17]. Further, increased amount of PCF may directly cause impaired diastolic filling through its mechanical compression to prevent ventricle from elastic expansion, resulting in subsequent atrial enlargement [22]. On the contrary, it has been shown that TAT volume was associated with aortic dimension and certain vasculopathy [12, 13] and major adverse cardiovascular events [23]. Even though, little is known for the potential remote relationship between TAT and human atrial remodeling.

PCF, TAT and cardiac electrical remodeling: associations with PR interval

Though mainly accumulated in the atrioventricular (AV) and interventricular grooves along coronary arteries, PCF also encompasses several cardiac structures, including the atria, the apex of the left ventricle and right ventricular free wall [24]. In addition, much of this overlays the specialized conduction system responsible for atria conduction in between the SA and AV node given the anatomic proximity [25] which may serve as routes for most efferent

parasympathetic nerve fibers [26]. Therefore, the expansion of such adipose tissue may produce certain pathological effects including inflammatory cytokines on cardiac conduction, especially those obesity individuals [20, 22, 24]. Among several electrocardiographic parameters, prolonged PR interval had been proposed a marker of atrial structural or electrical remodeling which likely reflect intra- or inter-atrial conduction delay between SA and AV node [27], and had been shown to be associated with heart failure or AF initiation and perpetuation in several longitudinal studies [28–30].

Consistent with our findings, prior epidemiological reports from Framingham and MESA study had demonstrated the associations between PCF burden and atrial conduction anomaly [31, 32]. However, in our multivariable analysis we showed that both PCF and TAT are independently associated with prolonged PR interval even after further adjusting for age and sex, though these associations became attenuated after further adjustment for BMI and other traditional cardiovascular risks. In addition, both excessive PCF and TAT added significant incremental values in identifying abnormally long PR interval beyond BMI. While obesity had been recognized to be associated with atrial electrical remodeling (such as widening P wave or prolonged PR interval) and a major risk for AF through local or systemic influences [33], the independent link between larger PCF/TAT and PR prolongation beyond BMI further support the possible role of excessive visceral adiposity in AF substrate formation [7–10]. Nevertheless, the possible mechanisms underlying these relationships may be somewhat different between PCF and TAT, with PCF presumably mediates its role through local effect owing to its unique anatomy exclusively encased within pericardial sac adjacent to myocardium [31, 34].

PCF, TAT and cardiac electrical remodeling: associations with QRS duration

We also observed that certain ventricular activation patterns by electrocardiogram (QRS-wave axis and duration) were influenced with accumulated adiposity in our work. In addition to the possible displacement of the heart by an elevated diaphragm [35] in subjects with increased visceral fat burden, enriched myocardial triglyceride content is related to frontal plane QRS axis deviation in subjects metabolic derangements [36], which may reflect the degree of abnormal fatty acid infiltration in myocardium causing certain functional disturbance. Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) as a consequence to excessive body size accompanied by abundant visceral adiposity depots [37] or relevant to any obesity-induced cardiac structural abnormalities [38] may underlie intra-ventricular conduction or functional disturbances, leading to wider QRS duration. Excessive myocardial lipid infiltration and impaired O_2 delivery from ventricular hypertrophy may also cause altered calcium handling or elevated oxidative stress from reactive oxygen species, resulting in abnormal cardiomyocyte excitation-contraction coupling [39, 40]. Widened QRS duration in individuals with obesity may likely reflect altered ventricular conduction due to underlying diseases and elevated risk of sudden cardiac deaths [41]. Interestingly, we noticed that BMI effects were attenuated in multi-variate models with both PCF and TAT remained significant. These data suggested that association of obesity on QRS duration may be better explained by biological visceral adiposity accumulation and related effects rather than BMI *per se*. Further, the strong and independent association between widened QRS duration and greater PCF in multi-variate models rather than TAT suggested a more direct mechanistic link between local visceral depots (e.g. PCF) and QRS widening, rather than via remote or systemic effect (e.g. TAT). Even though, the possible distinct mechanisms as mentioned above may need to be clarified in future studies. Taken together, elevated myocardial fibrosis and increased lipid content in the heart may eventually lead to slowed ventricular conduction [42], generating cardiac electromechanical dyssynchrony [43]. Indeed, we show in our data

that these effects may be independent from traditional body size measures, with PCF remains more tightly adhered to QRS duration when compared to TAT.

Limitations

The study has multiple limitations. First, our cohort included fewer male than female, which may limit its generalizability. Secondly, we did not include the data of heart chambers or ventricular mass, which might be helpful to further elucidate whether the observed ECG changes may be in part driven by these cardiac structural alterations. Thirdly, we would like to emphasize that the observed small to modest change of ECG parameters relevant to accumulating visceral adiposity should be interpreted with caution. Owing to the fact that more obvious ECG alteration may tend to happen at much higher level of adiposity accumulation and that a considerable amounts of the reported range of visceral adiposity (PCF and TAT) were associated with a variation of these ECG parameters (e.g. PR and QRS, QRS axis) within the reported values of COV (Coefficient of variability) in visceral adiposity measures, the real clinical impact of the analyzed variables is limited. Finally, the cross-sectional nature of the study precludes determination of the temporal relation or causality regarding the development of PCF burden and changes in ECG variables. Future longitudinal studies or interventions to reduce visceral adipose tissue burden in this population may help to clarify these relationships.

Conclusion

PCF and TAT, which likely represent different body site visceral adiposity, seem to correlate several body surface electrocardiographic alterations with diverse results. These data may be interpreted as their differential biological behaviors. While both PCF and TAT are related to prolonged atrio-ventricular conduction, the association between intra-ventricular conduction delay was more pronouncedly produced by PCF, which is likely to be explained by its local biological role via direct contact with myocardium and functional proximity. Instead, TAT may mediate relevant influences via alternative pathways, possibly by remote or systemic mechanism.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: CLH SCC CYL HGB THW HIY. Performed the experiments: FSY. Analyzed the data: PCC SCC FSY THW. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CHY JYK CJYH. Wrote the paper: CLH PCC HIY.

References

1. Hamdy O, Porramatikul S, Al-Ozairi E. Metabolic obesity: the paradox between visceral and subcutaneous fat. *Curr Diabetes Rev*. 2006; 2:367–73. PMID: 18220642

2. Rodriguez A, Catalan V, Gomez-Ambrosi J, Fruhbeck G. Visceral and subcutaneous adiposity: are both potential therapeutic targets for tackling the metabolic syndrome? *Curr Pharm Des*. 2007; 13:2169–75. PMID: 17627548

3. Mazurek T, Zhang L, Zalewski A, Mannion JD, Diehl JT, Arafat H, et al. Human epicardial adipose tissue is a source of inflammatory mediators. *Circulation*. 2003; 108:2460–6. PMID: 14581396

4. Yang FS, Yun CH, Wu TH, Hsieh YC, Bezerra HG, Liu CC, et al. High pericardial and peri-aortic adipose tissue burden in pre-diabetic and diabetic subjects. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2013; 13:98. doi: 10.1186/1471-2261-13-98 PMID: 24499326

5. Yun CH, Bezerra HG, Wu TH, Yang FS, Liu CC, Wu YJ, et al. The normal limits, subclinical significance, related metabolic derangements and distinct biological effects of body site-specific adiposity in relatively healthy population. *PloS one*. 2013; 8:e61997. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061997 PMID: 23620798

6. Rosito GA, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, Ruberg FL, Mahabadi AA, Vasan RS, et al. Pericardial fat, visceral abdominal fat, cardiovascular disease risk factors, and vascular calcification in a community-based sample: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2008; 117:605–13. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.743062 PMID: 18212276

7. Al Chekakie MO, Welles CC, Metoyer R, Ibrahim A, Shapira AR, Cytron J, et al. Pericardial fat is independently associated with human atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 56:784–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.03.071 PMID: 20797492

8. Shin SY, Yong HS, Lim HE, Na JO, Choi CU, Choi JI, et al. Total and interatrial epicardial adipose tissues are independently associated with left atrial remodeling in patients with atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2011; 22:647–55. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-8167.2010.01993.x PMID: 21235672

9. Thanassoulis G, Massaro JM, O'Donnell CJ, Hoffmann U, Levy D, Ellinor PT, et al. Pericardial fat is associated with prevalent atrial fibrillation: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2010; 3:345–50. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.109.912055 PMID: 20558845

10. Chao TF, Lai YH, Yun CH, Yen CH, Wang KL, Lin YJ, et al. The association between atrium electromechanical interval and pericardial fat. *PloS one*. 2014; 9:e97472. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097472 PMID: 24841847

11. Spiroglou SG, Kostopoulos CG, Varakis JN, Papadaki HH. Adipokines in periaortic and epicardial adipose tissue: differential expression and relation to atherosclerosis. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2010; 17:115–30. PMID: 20145358

12. Thanassoulis G, Massaro JM, Corsini E, Rogers I, Schlett CL, Meigs JB, et al. Periaortic adipose tissue and aortic dimensions in the Framingham Heart Study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2012; 1:e000885. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.112.000885 PMID: 23316310

13. Britton KA, Wang N, Palmisano J, Corsini E, Schlett CL, Hoffmann U, et al. Thoracic periaortic and visceral adipose tissue and their cross-sectional associations with measures of vascular function. *Obesity*. 2013; 21:1496–503. doi: 10.1002/oby.20166 PMID: 23754461

14. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF 3rd, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009; 150:604–12. PMID: 19414839

15. Yun CH, Lin TY, Wu YJ, Liu CC, Kuo JY, Yeh HI, et al. Pericardial and thoracic peri-aortic adipose tissues contribute to systemic inflammation and calcified coronary atherosclerosis independent of body fat composition, anthropometric measures and traditional cardiovascular risks. *Eur J Radiol*. 2012; 81:749–56. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2011.01.035 PMID: 21334840

16. Lehman SJ, Massaro JM, Schlett CL, O'Donnell CJ, Hoffmann U, Fox CS. Peri-aortic fat, cardiovascular disease risk factors, and aortic calcification: the Framingham Heart Study. *Atherosclerosis*. 2010; 210:656–61. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2010.01.007 PMID: 20152980

17. Mahabadi AA, Massaro JM, Rosito GA, Levy D, Murabito JM, Wolf PA, et al. Association of pericardial fat, intrathoracic fat, and visceral abdominal fat with cardiovascular disease burden: the Framingham Heart Study. *Eur Heart J*. 2009; 30:850–6. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehn573 PMID: 19136488

18. Iacobellis G, Pistilli D, Gucciardo M, Leonetti F, Miraldi F, Brancaccio G, et al. Adiponectin expression in human epicardial adipose tissue in vivo is lower in patients with coronary artery disease. *Cytokine*. 2005; 29:251–5. PMID: 15749025

19. Baker AR, Silva NF, Quinn DW, Harte AL, Pagano D, Bonser RS, et al. Human epicardial adipose tissue expresses a pathogenic profile of adipocytokines in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2006; 5:1. PMID: 16412224

20. Sacks HS, Fain JN. Human epicardial adipose tissue: a review. *Am Heart J*. 2007; 153:907–17. PMID: 17540190

21. Bagi Z, Broskova Z, Feher A. Obesity and coronary microvascular disease—implications for adipose tissue-mediated remote inflammatory response. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol*. 2014; 12:453–61. PMID: 24846234

22. Iacobellis G, Leonetti F, Singh N, A MS. Relationship of epicardial adipose tissue with atrial dimensions and diastolic function in morbidly obese subjects. *Int J Cardiol*. 2007; 115:272–3. PMID: 16759715

23. Kaya Z, Ulucan S, MehmetKayrak, Akyurek O, Katlandur H, Keser A, et al. The association between thoracic periaortic fat and major adverse cardiovascular events. *Wien Klin Wochenschr*. 2015; 127:191–6. doi: 10.1007/s00508-015-0722-x PMID: 25763561

24. Iacobellis G, Corradi D, Sharma AM. Epicardial adipose tissue: anatomic, biomolecular and clinical relationships with the heart. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med*. 2005; 2:536–43. PMID: 16186852

25. James TN. The internodal pathways of the human heart. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2001; 43:495–535. PMID: 11431803

26. Chiou CW, Eble JN, Zipes DP. Efferent vagal innervation of the canine atria and sinus and atrioventricular nodes. The third fat pad. *Circulation*. 1997; 95:2573–84. PMID: 9184589

27. Fleg JL, Das DN, Wright J, Lakatta EG. Age associated changes in the components of atrioventricular conduction in apparently healthy volunteers. *J Gerontol*. 1990; 45:M95–M100. PMID: 2335725

28. Magnani JW, Wang N, Nelson KP, Connelly S, Deo R, Rodondi N, et al. Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. Electrocardiographic PR interval and adverse outcomes in older adults: the Health, Aging, and Body Compositionstudy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013; 6:84–90.

29. Chamberlain AM, Agarwal SK, Folsom AR, Soliman EZ, Chambless LE, Crow R, Ambrose M, Alonso A. A clinical risk score for atrial fibrillation in a biracial prospective cohort (from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities [ARIC] study). *Am J Cardiol*. 2011; 107:85–91. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2010.08.049 PMID: 21146692

30. Schnabel RB, Sullivan LM, Levy D, Pencina MJ, Massaro JM, D'Agostino RB, et al. Development of a risk score for atrial fibrillation (Framingham Heart Study): a community-based cohort study. *Lancet*. 2009; 373:739–45. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60443-8 PMID: 19249635

31. Friedman DJ, Wang N, Meigs JB, Hoffmann U, Massaro JM, Fox CS Magnani JW. Pericardial fat is associated with atrial conduction: the Framingham Heart Study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2014; 3:e000477. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.113.000477 PMID: 24595189

32. Babcock MJ, Soliman EZ, Ding J, A Kronmal R, Goff DC Jr. Pericardial fat and atrial conduction abnormalities in the Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Obesity*. 2011; 19:179–84. doi: 10.1038/oby.2010.121 PMID: 20508628

33. Abed HS, Samuel CS, Lau DH, Kelly DJ, Royce SG, Alasady M, et al. Obesity results in progressive atrial structural and electrical remodeling: implications for atrial fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm*. 2013; 10:90–100. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2012.08.043 PMID: 23063864

34. Fitzgibbons TP, Czech MP. Epicardial and perivascular adipose tissues and their influence on cardiovascular disease: basic mechanisms and clinical associations. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2014; 3:e000582. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.113.000582 PMID: 24595191

35. Poirier P, Giles TD, Bray GA, Hong Y, Stern JS, Pi-Sunyer FX, et al. American Heart A, Obesity Committee of the Council on Nutrition PA and Metabolism. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: pathophysiology, evaluation, and effect of weight loss: an update of the 1997 American Heart Association Scientific Statement on Obesity and Heart Disease from the Obesity Committee of the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism. *Circulation*. 2006; 113:898–918.

36. Graner M, Pentikainen MO, Siren R, Nyman K, Lundbom J, Hakkarainen A, et al. Electrocardiographic changes associated with insulin resistance. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2014; 24:315–20. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2013.09.013 PMID: 24462049

37. Hense HW, Gneiting B, Muscholl M, Broeckel U, Kuch B, Doering A, et al. The associations of body size and body composition with left ventricular mass: impacts for indexation in adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol*.1998; 32:451–7. PMID: 9708475

38. Messerli FH. Cardiopathy of obesity—a not-so-Victorian disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1986; 314:378–80. PMID: 2935738

39. Guzzardi MA and Iozzo P. Fatty heart, cardiac damage, and inflammation. *Rev Diabet Stud*. 2011; 8:403–17. doi: 10.1900/RDS.2011.8.403 PMID: 22262077

40. Hosogai N, Fukuhara A, Oshima K, Miyata Y, Tanaka S, Segawa K, et al. Adipose tissue hypoxia in obesity and its impact on adipocytokine dysregulation. *Diabetes*. 2007; 56:901–11. PMID: 17395738

41. Narayanan K, Zhang L, Kim C, Uy-Evanado A, Teodorescu C, Reinier K, et al. QRS fragmentation and sudden cardiac death in the obese and overweight. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2015; 4:e001654. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.114.001654 PMID: 25762804

42. Biernacka A and Frangogiannis NG. Aging and Cardiac Fibrosis. *Aging Dis*. 2011; 2:158–173. PMID: 21837283

43. Crendal E, Dutheil F, Naughton G, McDonald T and Obert P. Increased myocardial dysfunction, dyssynchrony, and epicardial fat across the lifespan in healthy males. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2014; 14:95. doi: 10.1186/1471-2261-14-95 PMID: 25086592