Baptist Health South Florida

Scholarly Commons @ Baptist Health South Florida

All Publications

2-3-2017

Reliability and Validity Testing of a Rubric Aimed at Assessing Quality of Responses in a Virtual Journal Club

Sally Bonet

West Kendall Baptist Hospital, sallybo@baptisthealth.net

Simone Cheong

West Kendall Baptist Hospital, simonech@baptisthealth.net

Lizette Gonzalez

West Kendal Baptist Hospital, lizetteg@baptisthealth.net

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.baptisthealth.net/se-all-publications



Part of the Nursing Commons

Citation

Bonet, Sally; Cheong, Simone; and Gonzalez, Lizette, "Reliability and Validity Testing of a Rubric Aimed at Assessing Quality of Responses in a Virtual Journal Club" (2017). All Publications. 1978. https://scholarlycommons.baptisthealth.net/se-all-publications/1978

This Conference Poster -- Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons @ Baptist Health South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons @ Baptist Health South Florida. For more information, please contact Carrief@baptisthealth.net.



Reliability and Validity Testing of a Rubric Aimed at Assessing Quality of Responses in a Virtual Journal Club



Sally Bonet MSN, RN, Simone Cheong MSHSA, MSN, CMSRN, RN, & Lizette Gonzalez BSN, CMSRN, RN

Background

- Journal clubs (JC) are a common form of education in health care with the goal of promoting the translation of research evidence into practice¹.
- Virtual journal clubs (VJC) can facilitate a critical review of research to change nursing practice based on empirical findings² through quality responses.
- The better the quality of the responses, the more nurses are critically reviewing the possibility of a change in practice based on evidence.
- However, an assessment tool to evaluate the quality of VJC responses does not exist.

Purpose

To determine the reliability and validity of a rubric developed to assess the quality of responses in a virtual journal club.

Methods

This is a psychometric assessment of a rubric study.

- Content validity will be established on a 4-point Likert scale where 5-10 clinical educators will assess the relevance and clarity of each rubric item.
- 2. Once agreement is met, inter-observer reliability will be established by the assessment of VJC responses from 10-20 Advanced and/or Expert Medical-Surgical nurses. Each VJC responses will have 2-3 assessments for comparison.

Assessment items were taken from questions developed to derive a contact hour for responses.

Figure 1. Researcher-developed Rubric: Virtual Journal Club Posting Quality Assessment Tool

Component	Definition	Scoring
Identification of	Reader identifies main idea (issue, topic, practice) of the	0=No
main idea	article. (Item 1)	1=Yes
	Reader discusses why main idea of article is important.	0=Not at all
	(1)	1=To a limited extent
	(Item 2)	2=To a great extent
Comparison to	Reader compares article's main idea to current clinical	0=Not at all
clinical setting	setting.	1=To a limited extent
	(Item 3)	2=To a great extent
Application to	Reader states if the main idea could or could not be	0=No
clinical setting	implemented in clinical setting. (Item 4)	1=Yes
	Reader explains why main idea could or could not be	0=Not at all
	implemented in clinical setting.	1=To a limited extent
	(Item 5)	2=To a great extent

Tables 1 & 2. Content validity results of rubric items related to clarity and relevance of each item

	Item 1	clear Item 2 cle	ar Item 3 cle	ar Item 4 clea	ar Item 5 clear
Disagre or somew disagre	/hat	0 of 7	0 of 7	0 of 7	0 of 7
Somewagree c	(6 agree	7 of 7 e and (6 agree a what somewha agree)		-	7 of 7 e) (all 7 agree)
	Item 1	Item 2	Item 3 relevant	Item 4 relevant	Item 5 relevant
Disagre or somew disagre	relevan ee 0 of 7 vhat				

Results

Content Validity Results

- The 5 rubric items (Figure 1) were considered to be sufficient to determine the quality of a response because none of the 7 reviewers suggested more or different items.
- The content validity coefficients for all items were all excellent. 100% of the 7 reviewers found the 5 items relevant and 100% of the 7 reviewers found the 5 items clear (Tables 1 & 2).

Inter-observer Reliability

· Work in progress.

Implications for Practice

- This study engages clinical educators and staff nurses in the research and tool development process.
- Study byproduct is a tool to assist staff and informal leaders in evidence-based practice engagement, driving an engaged workforce.
- An engaged workforce is a happy workforce which then leads to staff retention.

References

¹Bilodeau, I., Pepin, J., & St-Louis, L. (2012). Journal club in a critical care unit: An innovative design triggering learning through reading and dialogue. *Dynamics*, *23*(1), 18–23. ²Berger, J., Hardin, H. K., & Topp, R. (2011). Implementing a virtual journal club in a clinical

Contact Information

sallybo@baptisthealth.net simonech@baptisthealth.net lizetteg@baptisthealth.net

nursing setting. Journal for Nurses in Staff Development, 27(3), 116-120.